

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.06.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.GOVINDARAJ

CONT.P.NO.943 OF 2017

T.S.Sheeba

.. Petitioner

VS.

D.Vasundaradevi,
The Director of School Education,
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Complex, College Road,
Chennai

... Respondent

PRAYER: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act to punish the respondent for willfully violating the orders of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.13084 of 2017 dated 19.05.2017.

For Petitioner

:

Mr.E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondents

:

Mr.M.Elumalai

Government Advocate

O R D E R

The petitioner filed the writ petition for a direction to include her name in the counselling conducted for promotion to the post of PG Assistant (Chemistry) in the School Education Department.

2. When the writ petition was taken up on 19.05.2017, for want of time, this Court directed the petitioner to submit the representation to the respondent and in turn

directed the respondent to consider as to whether the

petitioner is eligible to participate in the counselling or not.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that, as directed by this Court, the respondent has not considered her representation in spite of receiving the same. The petitioner was not allowed to participate in the counselling and could not get the relief she prayed. Therefore, the present contempt petition came to be filed.

4. When the matter was taken up today, Mr.M.Elumalai, learned Government Advocate, has produced a copy of the order passed by the Director of School Education in Na.Ka.No.033080/W2/E2/2017, dated 16.06.2017, setting out the reasons for not permitting the petitioner to participate in the counselling.

5. According to the learned Government Advocate, the petitioner is not eligible due to transfer from one unit to other unit and the seniority is reckoned only from 2010, whereas, the counselling was conducted for those who were regularized as on 01.06.2006 alone. Therefore, petitioner was considered to be junior and is not eligible to be permitted for counselling.

6. Recording the statement of the learned Government

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

Advocate for the respondent, the contempt petition is

closed. The petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order in the manner known to law. Copy of the G.O.Ms.No.209, dated 08.05.1997 and the order passed by the respondent dated 16.06.2017 shall form part of the record.

SD/-
JOINT REGISTRAR(OS) (i/c)

gsa

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this day of 2017.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

SS/CO/18/07/2017

To

D.Vasundaradevi,
The Director of School Education,
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Complex, College Road,
Chennai

one cc to the Govt.Pleader, High Court, SR.No.6742/17

one cc to Mr.N.Dilipkumar, Advocate, SR.No.6770/17

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY