

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.08.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Contempt Petition No.522 of 2017

Theivika Thirumaghan Pasumpon
Muthuramalinga Tevar Education
Development Arakkatalai by its
Secretary
No.192, Kodangaiyur, Tondaiyarpeth High Road,
Kodangaiyur, Chennai-600 108. ... Petitioner

-Vs-

1. V.Anbuselvan
Collector
Chennai District, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai-600 001.
2. Mr.M.Venkatraman
Tahsildar
Fort - Tondiarpet Taluk
Chennai-600 003. ... Respondents

Prayer:

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of
Courts Act, to punish the respondent herein for his willful disobedience
of the order of this Hon'ble Court dated 18.08.2016 made in

CMP.No.12698 of 2016 in SA.No.679 of 2016.

For Petitioner	: Mr.V.Viswanathan
For Respondents	: Mr.C.Manishankar, Additional Advocate General assisted by Mr.M.Elumalai, Government Advocate.

ORDER

This contempt petition is filed complaining that the order passed in CMP.No.126 of 1998 in SA.No.679 of 2016 directing the respondents to maintain status quo, as on that day, is violated by putting up some construction in the disputed property.

2. A counter affidavit is filed by the second respondent, wherein it is stated that on coming to know about the interim injunction in CMP.No.12698 of 2016, the THADCO authorities stopped construction in the disputed land and status quo is being maintained as of now.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents.

4. This Court, while entertaining the second appeal, has granted an ex parte interim order dated 18.08.2016, directing the respondents to maintain status quo as on that day. Though the petitioner complains that inspite of granting such order, the respondents have proceeded to put up some construction, the said allegation is denied by the respondents by filing a counter affidavit, by stating that they have stopped the construction, after coming to know about the interim order granted by this Court. They also specifically stated that they are maintaining the status quo as of now.

5. Recording the above said statement, the contempt petition is closed. No costs.

10.08.2017

Index: Yes/No

mk

WEB COPY

K.RAVICHANDRABAABU.J.,

mk



Contempt Petition No.522 of 2017

WEB COPY

10.08.2017



WEB COPY