

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

Cont.P.No.494 of 2017
in W.P.No.23068 of 2016

Arulmigu Sri Ullaramman Temple
 by its Hereditary Trustee,
 B.Kangeyan,
 No.301, Thiruvotriyur High Road,
 Old Washermenpet,
 Chennai-21 ... Petitioner

vs.

Mr.N.C.Sekar,
 Thasildar,
 Tondiorpet,
 Chennai-81. ... Respondent

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondent for disobedience of the orders of this Court in W.P.No.23068 of 2016 dated 5.7.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Suresh

For Respondent : Mr.I.Arokiasamy,
 Government Advocate

WEB COPY

ORDER

Mr.N.Suresh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, in his usual vehemence, made a forceful submission that without understanding the scope and purport of the order, which is the subject matter of this Contempt

Petition, The Tahsildar, Tondiorpet, merely sent a communication dated 14.10.2016 enclosing the filed sketch and not even referred to the application submitted to him

and since it is not in compliance of the orders passed by this Court, prays for appropriate orders.

2. *Per contra*, Mr.I.Arokiasamy, learned Government Advocate, who accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/contemnor, would submit that the representation of the petitioner as well as the order passed by this Court have been taken into consideration by the respondent and necessary documents have been furnished to the petitioner and it is for the petitioner to go through the same and work out his further or other remedy in accordance with law before the competent forum.

3. This Court has considered the rival submissions and also perused the entire materials placed before it.

4. This Court, while disposing of the writ petition, has not indicated the manner in which the order should be passed and the communication of the respondent/contemnor furnishing the field sketch etc., would serve the purpose and if the petitioner, on going through the contents of the documents furnished by the respondent/contemnor, is aggrieved, he is always at liberty to work out his remedy, if he is so advised, in accordance with law before the competent forum.

5. In the light of the above, this Contempt Petition is closed.

SD/
JOINT REGISTRAR(OS) i/c

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2016.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)


From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

SS/CO/16/03/2016

To

Mr.N.C.Sekar,
Thasildar,
Tondiorpet,
Chennai-81.

One CC to Mr.N.Suresh, Advocate, SR.No.4173/17



WEB COPY