

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.04.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

Writ Petition No.11285 of 2017

and

W.M.P.Nos.12209 & 12210 of 2017

J.Jayasudha

... Petitioner

vs

- 1.The Chief Vigilance Officer,
Corporation Bank - Head Office,
Mangaladevi Temple Road,
Pandeshwar,Mangalore - 575 001.
- 2.The General Manager/Nodal Officer,
(Integrated Risk Management Division/Vigilance)
Corporation Bank - Head Office,
Mangaladevi Temple Road,
Pandeshwar,
Mangalore - 575 001.
- 3.The Chief Manager (Disciplinary Authority),
H.R.M - P.A.D, Disciplinary Cell,
Corporation Bank - Head Office,
Mangaladevi Temple Road,
Pandeshwar,
Mangalore - 575 001.
- 4.The Senior Manager (Law)/Enquiry Officer,
Zonal Office - Bangaluru (South),
No.8, M.G.Road,
Nitesh Times Square,
Bangaluru - 560 001.
- 5.The General Manager
Corporation Bank - Zonal Office,
No.38 & 39, Whites Road,
Royappettai,
Chennai - 600 014.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a writ of Mandamus, to forbear the respondents 3 & 4 from conducting the enquiry proceedings in Ref.No.PAD:DISC:447/15 against the petitioner till the pending disposal of the enquiry on her complaint dated 26.12.2016 and further direct the respondents 1 & 2 to take proper action on petitioner's complaint by conducting comprehensive enquiry.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sankarasubbu for
Ms.R.Radhika

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking Writ of Mandamus to forbear the respondents 3 & 4 from conducting the enquiry proceedings in Ref.No.PAD:DISC:447/15 against the petitioner till the disposal of the enquiry on her complaint dated 26.12.2016 and further direct the respondents 1 & 2 to take proper action on petitioner's complaint by conducting comprehensive enquiry.

2.The petitioner was issued a charge memo on 29.08.2016, for which she has given explanation. The Disciplinary Authority subsequently, proceeded to conduct enquiry against her without taking any action on the complaint dated 26.12.2016 given by her.

3.This Court at this stage cannot interfere with the conduct of the enquiry proceedings, for the reason that the petitioner, after receipt of the charge memo dated 29.08.2016, has submitted her explanation. She has to wait till the conclusion of the enquiry Authority to pass final order.

4.With the above observation, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

28.04.2017

sd/-
Assistant Registrar


/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+2CC Mr.R.RADHIKA, Advocate SR.No.26287

Writ Petition No.11285 of 2017
and
W.MP.No.12209 & 12210 of 2017

SSI (CO)
EGR 18/05/2017



WEB COPY