

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.01.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

Crl.O.P.No.396 of 2017

and Crl.M.P.No.269 of 2017

1.K.Bharathi
2.K.Sathish Kumar ... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

1.State by
The Inspector of Police,
East Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District. ... Respondent/Complainant

2.R.Lakshmanan Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482
Cr.P.C. to call for the records in Crime No.777 of 2016 on the
file of the East Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District and
quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Vijay
For respondents : Mr.C.Emalias,
Addl. Public Prosecutor,
for R1
Mr.S.Arunkungumaraj
for R2

ORDER

The present criminal original petition has been filed
to call for the records in Crime No.777 of 2016 on the file of
the East Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District, and quash the
same.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for
consideration, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners
and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent / de
facto complainant represented that the dispute between the
parties was amicably settled and the learned counsel appearing

for the second respondent / de facto complainant has also submitted that the second respondent has no objection to quash the proceedings in Crime No.777 of 2016 pending on the file of the East Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District. The second respondent / de facto complainant has also filed an affidavit to that effect, which reads as follows:-

" 2. I submit that I have been working as daily wage labourer in Thiruvannamalai and I am close relative of the petitioners. I state that the criminal case has been registered against the petitioner on 29.10.2016 under Section 323, 294(b) of IPC and 4 of TN Prohibition Harassment of Woman Act 2002 on the basis of information given by me. At the intervention of my friends and relatives I have decided to settle the dispute with the petitioners by way of amicable settlement.

3. I state that I consent for quashing of criminal proceedings in view of compromise entered with the petitioners. The dispute had arosed between me and petitioners on account of petty quarrel. Hence, I have no objection to quash the criminal proceedings initiated against the petition in Crime No.777 of 2016 on the file of the East Police Station, Thiruvannamalan District."

Thus, the learned counsel for the respective parties pleaded that the proceedings pending in Crime No.777 of 2016 on the file of the East Police Station, Thiruvannamalan District, may be quashed.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the respective parties and recording the said statement made in the affidavit filed by the second respondent / de facto complainant, the proceedings in Crime No.777 of 2016 pending on the file of the East Police Station, Thiruvannamalan District, as against the petitioners are quashed and the criminal original petition is allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sbi

To


1.The Inspector of Police,
East Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+3cc to Mr.B. Vijay, Advocate, S.R.No.3742

ca(CO)
md(14/02/2017)

Cr1.O.P.No.396 of 2017



WEB COPY