

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.10.2017

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.KIRUBAKARAN

W.P No.4988 of 2017

R.Alamelu

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by the Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College road,
Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 035.
3. The Chief Education Officer,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram.
4. The District Collector,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram.
5. The Superintendent of Police,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram.
6. Mrs.Padma Elia Reddy,
Secretary & Correspondent,
Shalom Matriculation Higher Secondary School,
Vandalur, Kanchipuram district.
7. Mr.Rajendran,
Sub-Inspector of Police,
Ottery Police Station,
Kanchipuram District
8. Director of matriculation Schools,
D.P.I Campus, College road,
Chennai - 600 006

WEB COPY

9. The Deputy Inspector of Matriculation Schools,
Kancheepuram District.

(R8 & R9 Suo Motu impleaded

as per order Dated 08.08.2017

By NKKJ in W.P.No.4988/2017 Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing Respondents 1 to 5 to consider the representation dated 12.01.2017 made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law within a reasonable time as may be fixed.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Pugalenth

For Respondents: Mr.V.Anandhamoorthy,
Additional Government Pleader
(For R1 to R5 & R7 to R9)

Mr.N.Subramaniyan (for R6)

O R D E R

The writ petition is filed for issue of a Writ of Mandamus directing Respondents 1 to 5 to consider the representation dated 12.01.2017 made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law.

2. According to the Petitioner, her grandson R.Keerthi Vasan, aged 14 years, is studying in IX Standard in the sixth respondent's school and there was a quarrel between the petitioner's grandson and one Gokul, who is also studying in the same school, on 26.12.2016. Though the problem was resolved by the school authorities, again, on 02.01.2017, there was a clash between the school students of the sixth respondent school in Vandalur Railway Station and thereby, the matter was brought to the notice of the Police Station concerned. The Police Authorities called the petitioner, her grandson and her son R. Jothiprakash as well as the parents of other five students involved in the clash to the Police Station on 04.01.2017. The matter was settled at the Police Station and the respective parties gave undertaking letters stating that the matter has been settled. After having given such a letter, the petitioner has now approached this Court after giving a representation on 12.01.2017 stating that the petitioner's son was assaulted by the seventh respondent while he had gone for mediation regarding the clash between the Petitioner's grandson and another student of the 6th respondent school. Since the said representation was

not disposed off, the petitioner has come before this Court.

3. Heard Mr.P. Pugalenth, learned Counsel for the Petitioner; Mr.V. Anandhamoorthy, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for respondents 1 to 5 and Mr.N.Subramaniam, learned counsel appearing for the 6th respondent.

4. What was started as a quarrel between two students studying in IX standard turned out to be group clash necessitating Police action and this kind of attitude on the part of the students needs to be condemned.

5. It is very clear from the records produced by the learned Additional Government Pleader that there was a quarrel between petitioner's grandson and another student, by name, Gokul, studying in the same school. Since the students clashed in a public place, near Vandalur Railway Station, the Police had necessarily intervened. In the police station, all the parties concerned were called and the matter was settled, which is evident from the records produced by the learned Additional Government Pleader. The petitioner's son Jothiprakash had given an undertaking letter giving the details of the quarrel and the compromise reached at the Police Station and so also, the parents/guardians of other students, who were involved in the clash. After all these incidents, the petitioner has given a representation on 12.01.2017 stating that the 7th respondent has ill-treated the petitioner's son and her grandson. The fact remains that the petitioner's grandson had already completed IX standard and left the school. As already stated, the matter has also been settled amicably in toto. In such circumstance, the representation given by the petitioner is only an afterthought. Hence, there are no merits in the writ petition and the same is dismissed. No costs. Connected W.M.P. is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS V)

// True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nv/vum

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College road,
Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 035.
3. The Chief Education Officer,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram.
4. The District Collector,,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram.
5. The Superintendent of Police,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram.
6. Mrs.Padma Elia Reddy,
Secretary & Correspondent,
Shalom Matriculation Higher Secondary School,
Vandalur, Kanchipuram district.
7. Mr.Rajendran,
Sub-Inspector of Police,
Ottery Police Station,
Kanchipuram District
8. Director of matriculation Schools,
D.P.I Campus, College road,
Chennai - 600 006
9. The Deputy Inspector of Matriculation Schools,
Kancheepuram District.

+1cc to Government Pleader SR.No.71710

W.P No.4988 of 2017

KK(CO)
GN(24/11/2017)

WEB COPY