

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.04.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE M.DURAISWAMY

W.P.No.11021 of 2017

C.Raju

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

2. The Tahsildar,
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 10.03.2017.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Pavendhan
For Respondents : Mr.S.N.Parthasarathi,
Government Advocate

O R D E R

The petitioner has filed the above Writ Petition to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 10.03.2017.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that inspite of the petitioner's representation dated 10.03.2017, the respondents have not considered the same yet.

3.Mr.S.N.Parthasarathi, learned Government Advocate taking notice for the respondents submitted that the 2nd respondent may be directed to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders in accordance with law.

4.Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, taking into consideration the limited prayer sought for in the Writ Petition, without expressing any opinion with regard to the merits of the case, I direct the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 10.03.2017 and pass orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5.With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of.
No costs.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS VI)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

va

To


1. The District Collector,
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

2. The Tahsildar,
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

+1cc to Mr.G. Pavendhan, Advocate Sr. 36358

W.P.No.11021 of 2017

PVS (CO)
VR(4/5/2017)



WEB COPY