

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:03.04.2017

CORAM

The Honourable MR. JUSTICE M. DURAISWAMY

W.P.No.7772 of 2017
in
W.M.P.8518 & 8519 of 2017

B.K. Gajendran ...Petitioner

Vs

1. The Collector,
Krishnagiri District.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri.
3. The Tahsildar,
Hosur, Krishnagiri District.
4. S.R.P Chokkanatharaj,
Chairman Cum Educational Trust
S.R.P Chandisekarraj Memorial Cum
Educational Trust No.3/188,
Jeeva Nagar, Sudapuram, Hosur Taulk,
Krishnagiri District.

...Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of certiorari to call for the records connected with the proceedings issued in Na.Ka.25147/2016/ J2 dated 9.3.2017 passed by the 2nd respondent (in so far petitioner is concerned) and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S. Ilamvaludhi

For Respondent : Mr.S.V. Duraisolaimalai, AGP
1 to 3

ORDER

Mr.S.V.Duraisolaimalai, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents 1 to 3.

2. By consent, the main writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The petitioner has filed the above writ petition to issue a writ of certiorari to call for the records connected with the proceedings dated 9.3.2017 passed by the 2nd respondent and to quash the same.

4. By the impugned order dated 09.03.2017, the writ petitioner was directed to appear in person on 17.03.2017 and produce all the documents in support of his contention. Challenging this notice, the petitioner has come forward with the present writ petition.

5. Mr.S.Ilamvaludhi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has got all the documents in support of his contention and therefore, there is no necessity for the 2nd respondent to direct the petitioner to appear before him in person on 17.03.2017 to substantiate his case.

6. However, Mr.S.V.Duraisolaimalai, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 submitted that the petitioner may be directed to produce all the documents before the 2nd respondent on the next date of hearing and the 2nd respondent may be further directed to consider all the documents produced by the petitioner and pass orders in accordance with law.

7. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the 2nd respondent had posted the matter for hearing on 10.04.2017.

8. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, I give liberty to the petitioner to produce all the documents in support of his contention before the 2nd respondent on the next day of hearing and after receiving the documents to be produced by the petitioner, the 2nd respondent, after considering the documents produced by the other parties, shall pass orders on merits and in accordance with law.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of . No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/--

Asst.Registrar (CS VI)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

rg

To

1. The Collector,
Krishnagiri District.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri.
3. The Tahsildar,
Hosur, Krishnagiri District.

+1cc to Government Pleader sr.19798

+1cc to Mr.S.Elam Valudi, Advocate sr.19525

Nrjk(co)
ss(12/4/2017)

W.P.No.7772 of 2017



WEB COPY