

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.04.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH

Writ Petition No.10830 of 2017

R.Lenin

... Petitioner

vs.

1. Commissioner of Municipal Administration,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Chepauk, Chennai - 5.

2. Thiruvathipuram Municipality
rep. by its Commissioner,
Thiruvathipuram,
Thiruvannamalai District.

... Respondents

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of mandamus directing the second respondent to take appropriate action on the petitioner's representation dated 22.03.2017 by conducting site inspection of the execution of the work of renovation of 7 shops and godown in the Thiruvathipuram Municipality's bus stand premises, awarded to the petitioner as additional work by the second respondent vide Resolution dated 30.03.2015 and arising out of the original contract awarded to the petitioner vide proceedings in Roc.No.4130/2012/E1 dated 29.01.2014 and record the same in the M Book maintained by the second respondent and release the accrued payments in accordance with the said measurements to the petitioner

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Karthik Jagannath

For Respondents : Mr.P.V.Selvakumar,
Addl. Govt. Pleader for R1
Mr.K.J.Sivakumar,
Govt. Advocate for R2

ORDER

Seeking a direction to the second respondent to take appropriate action on the petitioner's representation dated 22.03.2017 by conducting site inspection of the execution of the work of renovation of 7 shops and godown in the Thiruvathipuram Municipality's bus stand premises, awarded to the petitioner as additional work by the second respondent vide Resolution dated 30.03.2015 and arising out of the original contract awarded to the petitioner vide proceedings in Roc.No.4130/2012/E1 dated 29.01.2014 and record the same in the M Book maintained by the second respondent and release the accrued payments in accordance with the said measurements to the petitioner, the present writ petition has been filed.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the first respondent and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the second respondent.

3.Though the petitioner has sought for a larger relief, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the representation made on 22.03.2017, by which, a request has been made to conduct site inspection of the additional work done by the petitioner and thereafter make the payment is still pending consideration, the same may be directed to be disposed of.

4.Considering the said limited request, without going into the merits of the case, the second respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 22.03.2017 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

s/d-
Assistant Registrar (CCC)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To


1. The Commissioner of Municipal Administration,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Chepauk, Chennai - 5.

2. The Commissioner,
Thiruvathipuram Municipality,
Thiruvathipuram,
Thiruvannamalai District.

+1 CC to Mr.K.Karthik Jagannath, sr 26097

W.P.No.10830 of 2017

SAI (CO)
sp/9/5



WEB COPY