

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.12.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR

W.A.No.1666 of 2017
and
C.M.P.No.21559 of 2017

K.Mani

Appellant

Versus

- 1 The Union Minister
Ministry for Steel and Mines
Union Government of India
Udyog Bhavan New Delhi 110 107
- 2 The Secretary
Ministry for Steel and Mines
Union Government of India
Udyog Bhavan New Delhi 110 107
- 3 The Chairman
Steel Authority of India Limited
Ispat Bhavan Lodhi Road
New Delhi 110 103
- 4 The Executive Director
Salem Steel Plant / SAIL Salem 636 030
- 5 The Estate Manager
Salem Steel Plant/SAIL Salem 636 030

Respondents

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 29.6.2016 passed in W.P.No.16308 of 2017 on the file of this court.

WP.NO.16308 of 2017

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 4 to consider petitioner representation dated 4.5.2017

For appellant : Mr.V.Sekar

For respondents : Mr.V.P.Sengottuvel

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH, J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. The writ appeal has been filed challenging the order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition seeking a mandamus to respondents 1 to 4 to consider his representation dated 4.5.2017.

3. It appears that the appellant is a retired employee of Steel Authority of India Limited and he claimed retention of official quarters allotted to him on lease basis similar to the method adopted in case of Bokoro Steel Plant vide Circular No.8/2014. Since he could not succeed before the learned Single Judge, he has come up with the present writ appeal.

4. On perusal of the materials placed before us, we find that the fifth respondent had replied to the appellant by letter dated 6.5.2017 itself to the effect that the request of the appellant could not be considered since the Steel Authority of India Limited (SAIL) had no scheme for leasing of houses, which is evident from page 31 of the typed set of papers of the appellant. Therefore, we do not find any scope for interference with the order passed by the learned Single Judge in dismissing the writ petition. Accordingly, the writ appeal fails and the same is dismissed. No costs. The connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CCC)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

ssk.

To:

- 1 The Union Minister
Ministry for Steel and Mines
Union Government of India
Udyog Bhavan New Delhi 110 107

WEB COPY


2 The Secretary
Ministry for Steel and Mines
Union Government of India
Udyog Bhavan New Delhi 110 107

+ 1 cc to Mr.V.Sekar Advocate,SR.90463

+ 1 cc to Mr.V.P.Sengottuvel Advocate,SR.90462

W.A.No.1666 of 2017

nm(co)
nr 07/02/2018



WEB COPY