

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**DATED: 22.09.2017****CORAM:****THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH****Crl.O.P.No.20540 of 2017**

R.Choodamani

..

Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police
V7 Nolambur Police Station,
Chennai 600 037.
2. EGHOVAH Developers & Promoters
No.181, Golden George Rathnam Road,
Nolambur, Chennai 600 095.
3. A.Macky Stanley
Proprietor
EGHOVAH Developers & Promoters,
No.181, Golden George Rathnam Road,
Nolambur, Chennai 600 095.
4. Gnanavelu
5. Kanniah Reddy alias Kanniappa Reddy .. Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the 1st respondent to take cognizance of the petitioner's complaint dated 23.12.2016 and proceed in accordance with law by recovering the amount paid by the petitioner to the

respondents 2 to 5.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Rajagopal

For Respondents : Mr.P.Govindarajan
Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking a direction to the 1st respondent to take cognizance of the petitioner's complaint dated 23.12.2016 and proceed in accordance with law by recovering the amount paid by the petitioner to the respondents 2 to 5.

2.By consent of both sides, this Criminal Original Petition is taken up for final disposal.

3.The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of a complaint given by him on 23.12.2016 to the 1st respondent , the same has been kept in abeyance without any action. It is well settled in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2013 (6) CTC 353]***, that registration of an FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure if an information furnished to the

police officer disclose commission of a cognizable offence and in cases where the information does not disclose a cognizable offence, a preliminary enquiry has to be conducted.

4. The 1st respondent is not justified in having received the complaint and keeping it unattended without any further action. In view of the same, the petitioner has made out a case for this Court to invoke its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Hence, the 1st respondent is directed as follows:

1) If the information received by the 1st respondent discloses commission of a cognizable offence, then, the same shall be forthwith registered.

2) If an information received does not disclose a cognizable offence, the 1st respondent shall conduct a preliminary inquiry to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed therein or not and such inquiry shall be completed within a period of seven days from the date of information.

3) If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered, if not already registered or closed.

4) If the preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, the disclosure report must be recorded along with the reasonings and a copy of the same shall be furnished to the complainant within one week.

5) All information relating to cognizable offences whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading an inquiry must be reflected in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the 1st respondent's police station.

5. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition is allowed with the above directions.

22.09.2017

Index: Yes/No


dpq

To

1. The Inspector of Police
V7 Nolambur Police Station,
Chennai 600 037.

WEB COPY

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

M.S.RAMESH.J,

dpq



Crl.O.P.No.20540 of 2017

WEB COPY

22.09.2017