

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 10.01.2017

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

W.P.No.749 of 2017

M.K.Rajendran

.. Petitioner

vs.

State Transport Authority,
Chepauk, Chennai-5.

.. Respondent

* * *

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, or order or direction in the nature of Writ, directing the respondent herein to consider and pass orders on the petitioner's application dated 06.07.2016 for the grant of Contract Carriage Omni Bus Permit (dealt with in R.No.26220/A3/2016) forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Hariharan

For Respondent : Mr.K.Venkatesh,
Government Advocate

ORDER

1. Issue notice. Mr.K.Venkatesh, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

1.1. With the consent of the learned counsels for the parties, the writ petition is taken up for hearing and final disposal.

2. The limited relief that the petitioner seeks is that his application, dated 06.07.2006, for grant of contract Carriage qua, Omni Bus Permit (dealt with in R.No.26220/A3/2016), be considered.

3. According to me, the prayer made is a reasonable one.

3.1. The respondent is, accordingly, directed to consider the aforementioned application of the petitioner, i.e., application dated 06.07.2006, and thereafter, pass a speaking order in that behalf.

4. The writ petition is disposed in terms of the aforesaid directions. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gg


To

The State Transport Authority,
Chepauk, Chennai-5.

+1cc to Mr.Hariharan, Advocate, S.R.No.2296
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.3075

NM(CO)
RS(19/01/2017)

W.P.No.749 of 2017



WEB COPY