

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 28/2/2017

C O R A M

The Honourable Mr.Justice S.Manikumar
and
The Honourable Mr.Justice M.Govindaraj
Habeas Corpus Petition No.134 of 2017

Meena Venkatesan Petitioner

Vs

State
rep by
The Inspector of Police
S 5 Pallavaram Police Station
Pallavaram
Chennai 600 043. Respondent

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, directing the respondent Police for the production of the body of detainee, viz., petitioner's daughter namely V.Jayalakshmi, D/o.R.Venkatesan, aged about 21 years, daughter of the petitioner herein before this Court and set at liberty.

For petitioner ... Mr.M.Aravind Subramaniam

For respondent ... Mr.V.M.R.Rajentren
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was delivered by S.Manikumar,J)

Mother, who is working as a Typist, in the office of the learned counsel for the petitioner, has sought for a writ of Habeas Corpus, for the production of her daughter, viz., V.Jayalakshmi, aged 21 years, before this Court.

2. Supporting the prayer sought for, she has contended that her daughter was missing from 11/1/2017 and a complaint was

lodged, on the same day, to the Inspector of Police, S-5 Pallavaram Police Station, Chennai/respondent. On 14/1/2017, a case has been registered in Crime No.182 of 2017, under the caption "woman missing" and therefore, she was constrained to file the writ of Habeas Corpus Petition.

3. Record of proceeding shows that on 30/1/2017, a Honourable Division Bench of this Court has directed the learned Additional Public Prosecutor to take notice and to submit a status report. On 23/2/2017, the detainee was produced before this Court. We enquired Jayalakshmi, aged 21 years. During enquiry, she submitted that she left the house on her own and was not illegally detained by anybody. Therefore, we permitted the detainee to stay in the house of the learned counsel for the petitioner and to revert.

4. Today, when the matter is listed for further hearing, the writ petitioner expresses some other grievance over her daughter. Alleged detainee, reiterated the issues submitted on the last hearing date. She wants to live separately.

5. From the above, we are of the view that there is no case for issuance of a writ of Habeas Corpus. Accordingly, this Habeas Corpus Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

mvs.

To

1. The Inspector of Police
S 5 Pallavaram Police Station
Pallavaram
Chennai 600 043.

2. The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court
Madras.

+1cc to Mr.M.Aravind Subramaniam,Advocate sr.13192

H.C.P.No.134 of 2017

rj(co)
ss(17/3/2017)