

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.03.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.T. SELVAM

Cr1.R.C.No.281 of 2017

E.Vinoth Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State by
Station House Officer,
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,
Tindivanam Police Station,
Villupuram District.

...Respondent

Criminal Revision filed under Section 397 r/w 401 Cr.P.C. against the order passed in C.M.P.No.3718 of 2016 dated 05.01.2017 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohammed Riyaz,
Government Advocate [Cr1.side]

ORDER

Petitioner challenges the order of learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam, passed in C.M.P.No.3718 of 2016 on 05.01.2017.

2. Respondent has seized a Hero Splendor Pro bearing Registration No.TN-19-U-5233, belonging to petitioner in connection with the case registered in Crime No.199 of 2016 on its file for the offence under Section 4(1-a) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act. Petitioner has moved C.M.P.No.3718 of 2016 before learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam, seeking return of vehicle. Such petition came to be dismissed under orders of the Court below dated 05.01.2017. Hence, this revision.

3. Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned Government Advocate [Cr1.side].

4. Learned Government Advocate (Cr1. Side) would submit that the vehicle of the petitioner has been confiscated on

26.08.2016 under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act.

5. In view of the said submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as infructuous.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mmi

To

1. The Station House Officer,
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,
Tindivanam Police Station,
Villupuram District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Tindivanam.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.

+1cc to Mr.Sathiyaraj, Advocate, S.R.No.14004

RK(CO)
RS(21/03/2017)



Crl.R.C.No.281 of 2017

WEB COPY