

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.11.2017

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN**

**W.A.No.1035 of 2017
and
C.M.P.NO.14631 of 2017**

Teachers Recruitment Board,
rep. by its Chairman,
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maaligai,
DPI Compound, College Road,
Chennai 600 006.

Appellant

Versus

V.Prakash

Respondent

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 10.9.2014 passed in W.P.No.3916 of 2014 on the file of this court.

For appellant : Mr.R.Prathapkumar, AGP
For respondent : Mr.S.Sundaresan

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH, J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and Mr.S.Sundaresan, learned counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

2. The controversial question involved in this case is whether the respondent herein had completed his M.A. degree and B.Ed. degree simultaneously so as to treat his as ineligible for being considered for the post of P.G. Assistant. The learned Single Judge, having gone through the relevant materials, found that the respondent had completed the first year of M.A. Degree course by 2008 and after discontinuing the same, he had joined the B.Ed. Course in the year 2008 and completed the same by the year 2010 and thereafter, he had continued the M.A. Degree by re-joining the course in the year 2010 and completed the same in the year 2011, and thereby allowed the writ petition directing the appellant to consider the case of the respondent herein for the post of PG Assistant. Challenging the same, the present writ appeal has been filed by the Teachers Recruitment Board.

3. On going through the materials placed before us and the order passed by the learned Single Judge, especially, the copy of the certificate issued by the Annamalai University to show that the respondent had done his first year degree in M.A. during the period 2007-2008 and 2010-2011 and he had discontinued the interregnum period viz., 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 and also the copy of the B.Ed., certificate of the respondent, produced at page 5 of the typed set of papers, we find that the learned Single Judge has rightly held that the respondent has done both the courses in different academic years and it cannot be treated as having been done simultaneously.

4. In view of the above, we do not find any reason to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, the writ appeal is dismissed. No costs. The connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

(H.G.R.,J.)(T.K.R.,J.)

24.11.2017.

Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
ssk.

To:

Teachers Recruitment Board,
rep. by its Chairman,
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maaligai,
DPI Compound, College Road,
Chennai 600 006.



WEB COPY

**HULUVADI G. RAMESH, J.
AND
RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J**

ssk.



W.A.No.1035 of 2017

WEB COPY

24.11.2017.