

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

W.P.No.5275/2017

1.M.Venketesan
2.K.Gopalakrishnan
3.S.Perinaayagasagayaraj
4.M.K.Manimozhi .. Petitioners

Vs

1.The Commissioner,
Corporation of Chennai,
Ripon Building, Chennai-3.
2.The Superintending Engineer {Electrical}
Corporation of Chennai, Rippon Building
Chennai 600 003. .. Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to promote the petitioners to the post of Lighting Inspector w.e.f 15.02.2012 on par with his juniors with all service and monetary benefits within the time limit fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Vijayakumar

For Respondents : Mr.G.Anantha Rangan

ORDER

By consent, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal. Mr.G.Anandarangan learned Standing counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2 The petitioners were initially appointed as Casual Labourers during the year 1996 and their services were regularised in the year 2006. it is the claim of the petitioners that though they are fully qualified for the post of Electricians, they have been accommodated only as Labourers and a proposal has also been submitted to the Administrative Department of the Government of Tamil Nadu to treat the said post as Electrician Grade-II and it is still pending consideration with the Government. The grievance expressed by the petitioners is that though they are qualified with ITI Certificate, they are working for the last 21 years without any promotion whatsoever. The petitioners, in this regard, have also submitted representations dated 30.03.2012, 22.04.2013 and 31.08.2016, praying for promotion to the post of Lighting Inspector and since the said representations have not been met with any favourable response, they have come forward to file this writ petition.

3 The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that temporary promotion lists for the post of Lighting Inspector were prepared during the years 2011 and 2012 and concerned persons were given promotions ; whereas the petitioners who are similarly placed are denied of such a benefit and prays for appropriate orders.

4 Per contra, Mr.G.Anantha Rangan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the representations submitted by the petitioners will be considered and dealt with in accordance with law at an early date.

5 The Court has considered the rival submissions and also perused the materials placed before this Court.

6 This Court, in the light of the above facts and circumstances and without going into the merits of the claim projected by the petitioners, directs the respondents 1 and 2 to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 3.08.2016 on merits and in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders within a period of six

M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.,
AP

weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the decision taken, to the petitioners.

7 The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

02.03.2017

Index : No

Internet : Yes

Note: Issue order copy 09.03.2017.

AP

To

1. The Commissioner,
Corporation of Chennai,
Ripon Building, Chennai-3.
2. The Superintending Engineer {Electrical}
Corporation of Chennai, Rippon Building
Chennai 600 003.

W.P.No.5275/2017
<http://www.judis.nic.in>