

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

W.P.No.5274 of 2017

R.Venugopal

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kanchipuram

2. The Block Development Officer,
Lathur Block, Pavunjur,
Cheyyur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District

.. Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, direction or order in the nature of writ, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to pay his arrears of salary since December 2012 to as on date by considering the petitioner representation dated 23.12.2016 and to pass orders within a stipulated period.

For Petitioner : Mr. P.Murali

For Respondents : Mr.P.Senthilvel, GA for R1
Mr.R.Venkatesh, GA for R2

ORDER

By consent, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal.

Mr.P.Senthilvel, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the 1st respondent and Mr.R.Venkatesh, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the 2nd respondent.

2

The petitioner would submit that he was appointed

as a Water Tank Pump Operator in Kodur Panchayat through their Resolution dated 28.04.2011 and claims that he is performing his job honestly, sincerely with utmost dedication. The petitioner would further state that he is being paid with salary of Rs.600/- up to 30.05.2012 and however, the salaries were not disbursed thereafter. The grievance expressed by the petitioner is that though his appointment was approved by the 2nd respondent and that the monthly salary has also been fixed, for the reasons best known, he has not been paid with salary and on account of the same, he and his family are suffering very much and in this regard, the petitioner has also submitted a representation dated 23.12.2016 to the respondents 1 & 2 and in spite of receipt and acknowledgment, he is yet to be favoured with any kind of response and hence, the petitioner came forward to file the present writ petition.

3 The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the matter in issue is covered by the order dated 27.05.2016 made in WP No.18540 of 2016 [*Tmt.B.Muniammal Vs. The District Collector, Vellore and 3 others*] and prays for appropriate orders.

4 The Court heard the submissions of Mr.P.Senthilvel, learned Government Advocate appearing for the 1st respondent and Mr.R.Venkatesh, learned Government Advocate appearing for the 2nd respondent.

5 This Court, taking into consideration the limited scope of prayer sought for by the petitioner and without going into the merits of the same, directs the 2nd respondent to consider this Writ petition of the petitioner's representation dated 23.12.2016 on merits and in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the decision taken, to the petitioner.

6 The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

01.03.2017

Index : No
Internet : Yes
rka/AP

M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.,
rka/AP

To

1. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District
Kanchipuram.
2. The Block Development Officer,
Lathur Block, Pavunjur,
Cheyyur Taluk,
Kancheepuram Taluk

W.P.No.5274 of 2017

02.03.2017
<http://www.judis.nic.in>