

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.01.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA

CRP PD.No.1140 of 2014

and

M.P.No.1 of 2014

Brindamani

.. Petitioner

vs

1. Periyammal

2. Kumarasamy

3. Kandasamy

4. Rajinikanth

5. Santhoshkumar

...Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 30.01.2014 passed in I.A.No.1 of 2014 in R.C.O.P.No.31 of 2011 on the file of the Rent Controller cum II Additional District Munsif Court, Salem.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Neelakandan

ORDER

The revision is directed against an order passed by the learned Rent Controller under 8 Rule 9 C.P.C, which is only appealable and the revision filed

PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA,J.,

srn

by the petitioner is not maintainable. Hence, the Civil Revision Petition is **rejected**. The Registry is directed to return the original order copies filed by the petitioner to the learned counsel for the petitioner. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

10.01.2017

srn

Index: Yes/No

Internet:Yes

To

The Rent Controller cum II Additional District Munsif Court,
Salem.

CRP PD.No.1140 of 2014
and
M.P.No.1 of 2014