

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10/8/2017

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE S.MANIKUMAR

A N D

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE N.AUTHINATHAN

Contempt Petition No.121 of 2017

P. Moorthy.

....

Petitioner

Vs

1. Mrs.M.Sathyavathy
Union of India
rep. By its Secretary
Ministry of Labour
Government of India
Sharm Shakti Bhavan
Rafi Marg
New Delhi 110 001.

2. Mr.V.K.Das
The Director
Central Board for Workers Education
North Ambazari Road
Nagpur 440 033.

3. Mr.T.K.Lissy
The Zonal Director (South Zone)
Central Board for Workers Education
Garment Complex
Thiru.Vi.Ka Industrial Estate
Guindy
Chennai 600 032.

4. Mr.G.Appukuttan
 The Regional Director
 Central Board for Workers Education
 11, 2nd Floor Arul Malar Convent Road
 K.K.Nagar
 Madurai 620 020.

...


Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the respondent for willful disobedience of the order, dated 15/11/2016, passed by this Court, in W.P.No.39629 of 2016.

For petitioner

...

Mr.M.Rajendran
 for Mr.J.Paul Nobel Devakumar



ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by S.Manikumar,J)

While disposing of W.P.No.39629 of 2016, dated 15/11/2016, we directed the concerned, to dispose of the representation, dated 1/11/2016, as expeditiously as possible and not later than, ten days, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, made in W.P.No.39629 of 2016. That apart, having regard to the grievance of the writ petitioner that he was not paid salary from the month of September onwards, we directed that the same may also considered.

2. Contending inter alia that the respondents have disobeyed the order of this Court, instant Contempt Petition is filed.

3. On this day, when the matter came up for hearing, Mr.M.Rajendran, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that salary has been paid. However, the respondents, without considering the representation, dated 1/11/2016, in proper perspective, passed an order, which according to him, is contumacious.

4. Material on record discloses that the representation, dated 1/11/2016, of the writ petitioner has been considered and vide, order, dated 5/12/2016, the Director of Central Board for Workers Education Department, Chennai, held that there is no extenuating circumstances, warranting cancellation/modification of the order of the transfer.

5. While disposing of the writ petition, we only directed the representation, dated 1/11/2016, to be considered. Payment has been made. Representation has been considered. There is absolutely no case for invoking the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act.

6. In view of the above, **Contempt Petition is dismissed.** No costs.

(S.M.K.,J) (N.A.N.,J)
10th August 2017.

mvs.

To


1. Mrs.M.Sathyavathy
Union of India
rep. By its Secretary
Ministry of Labour
Government of India
Sharm Shakti Bhavan
Rafi Marg
New Delhi 110 001.
2. Mr.V.K.Das
The Director
Central Board for Workers Education
North Ambazari Road
Nagpur 440 033.
3. Mr.T.K.Lissy
The Zonal Director (South Zone)
Central Board for Workers Education
Garment Complex
Thiru.Vi.Ka Industrial Estate
Guindy
Chennai 600 032.
4. Mr.G.Appukuttan
The Regional Director
Central Board for Workers Education
11, 2nd Floor Arul Malar Convent Road
K.K.Nagar
Madurai 620 020.

S.MANIKUMAR,J

a n d

N.AUTHINATHAN,J

mvs.



Contempt Petition No.121 of 2017

WEB COPY

10/8/2017