

IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 7113/2016

(Randhir Parasnath Yadao vs. State of Maharashtra & others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 7114/2016

(Akhilesh Parasnath Yadao vs. State of Maharashtra & others)

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Mr. D.R. Rupnarayan, Advocate for the petitioner/s
Mr. B.M.Lonare, A.G.P for respondents

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED : 6th January, 2017

Advocate Rupnarayan, upon instructions, seeks leave to withdraw with liberty to file fresh petitions. He submits that in the petitions, only protection of employment was sought but it has become necessary to challenge the adverse observations of the Scrutiny Committee.

The learned A.G.P has no objection if the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn. However, he is opposing grant of any liberty in favour of the petitioners.

This Court has not still applied its mind to the merits of the controversy. On 22nd December, 2016 there are certain observations which show that the challenge to the order of Scrutiny Committee on merits was felt necessary.

In this situation, we grant request of the petitioners and dispose of the petitions as withdrawn, with liberty to file fresh, on same cause.

JUDGE

JUDGE