

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAYNAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 139/17 IN WRIT PETITION NO. 585 OF 2016.
(Ganesh Gruha Nirman Sahakari Sanstha Ltd. .vs. Shri Deepak Mhaisekar, IAS,
Chairman, NIT)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI & ARUN D. UPADHYE, JJ.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 11, 2017.

Heard Mr. Anand Parchure, learned Advocate for petitioner and Mr. G.A. Kunte, learned Advocate for respondent.

Mr. Parchure, learned Advocate for petitioner, submits that though encroachment has been removed, it is after long delay and no reasons are given for not taking timely steps. He further submits that no remorse whatsoever has been expressed for undue delay. Mr. G.A. Kunte, learned Advocate for respondent, points that encroachment was large and hence, police protection was required to be obtained. Some time was taken in the process.

We find that the action was initiated on 7.4.1993 and directions of this Court are dated 12.4.2016.

Hence, explanation given by Mr. G.A. Kunte, learned Advocate for respondent, cannot be accepted.

However, in present facts as encroachment is removed, we are not inclined to keep the proceedings pending. Keeping contentions of petitioner open, we dispose of Contempt Petition. No costs.

Judge

Judge

J.