

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 5225 OF 2017

(Smt. Manda wd/o Dyaneshwar Tekam vs. Union of India thr. GM, CR, Mumbai & Anr.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.
NOVEMBER 17, 2017.**

Heard Shri A.B. Bambal, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Perused the judgment delivered by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench at Nagpur on 03.12.2015. The material on record does not show that the petitioner – a daughter who was married when her father expired way back in the year 1988 or thereafter when her mother expired in 1993, was dependent on either father or mother at that juncture. Her husband has expired on 31.01.2012. On 10.05.2010 for the first time she sought family pension.

3. In this situation, we do not see any jurisdictional error or perversity. Writ Petition is rejected. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

*GS.