

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3156/2009

Ravishekhar s/o Shankarrao Guralwar

...Versus...

Maharashtra State of Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd., through its Managing
Director, Mumbai and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Vaidya, Adv. h/f Shri Anand Parchure, Adv. for petitioner
Shri A.D. Mohgaonkar, Advocate for respondent no.1

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND
V.M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 25.01.2017

Shri Vaidya, the learned Counsel holding for
Shri Parchure, the learned Counsel for the petitioner has tendered
a pursis in the Court today. It is stated in the pursis that the
Counsel has not received any instructions from the petitioner,
despite the efforts. It is stated that it would be difficult to conduct
the matter without any instructions.

In view of the statements made in the pursis, we
dismiss the writ petition for want of prosecution.

JUDGE

JUDGE