

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION No. 3618/2017.

Adrsha Vidya Mandir, Nagpur and others.

-VERSUS-

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : OCTOBER 04, 2017.

Heard Shri A.Z. Jibhkate, learned counsel
for petitioners and Ms. A.R. Kulkarni, learned A.G.P.
for respondents.

2. Short grievance is, proposal for granting
approval to appointment submitted by petitioners has
been partly considered. Thus, Shikshan Sevaks then
recruited have been approved, however, employment
provided to non-teaching staff has not been looked
into because of directions issued by the State
Government on 15.12.2016.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners submits
that on 03.07.2017, State Government has issued
another communication and removed that bar. He is
producing before this Court communication dated

2

19.07.2017, sent by the Director of Education to all Education Officers pointing this lifting. According to him, in view of this later decision, the case of non-teaching employees for approval can now be looked into.

4. Learned A.G.P. submits that she is not aware of any such communication dated 19.07.2017 or any change in policy by the State Government.

5. At the cost and risk of petitioners, we take letter dated 19.07.2017 written by the Director of Education to all Education Officer on record and mark it as “**Exh.X**” for identification. Without observing anything on its correctness or otherwise, we direct respondent no.4 to verify said letter/change in policy, and if there is any such change in policy, take suitable decision on the proposal already submitted by petitioners within a period of two months.

6. With these directions and keeping all defences available to respondents in the matter open, we dispose of the present Petition. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rgd.