

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

**CRI. APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 373 OF 2017**

(RAJIV GOPALRAO RAHATE & 3 OTH...VS.. STATE OF MAH. THR. P.S.O. CHANNI, AKOLA)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
 appearances, Court's orders of directions  
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.A.Gupta, Advocate for Applicants.  
 Shri Neeraj Patil, A.P.P. for Non-applicant.

**CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.**

**DATED : JUNE 20, 2017.**

Heard.

The applicants, apprehending arrest in crime registered against them for the offences punishable under Sections 304-B, 498-A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the Prohibition of Dowry Act, 1961 have sought pre-arrest bail.

On query, the learned advocate for the applicant has not been able to point out any Act such as "Prohibition of Dowry Act". It is submitted that there is mistake in referring to the Act. It is noticed that in most of the applications such mistakes are committed, either the sections are wrongly stated or the Act is wrongly stated. I feel that such silly mistakes, which result in causing loss of time of the staff of the Court while transcribing the orders should not be condoned.

The application is **dismissed** with liberty to the applicant to file proper application, if so advised.

The interim protection granted by this Court on 6<sup>th</sup> June, 2017 will operate for one week.

**JUDGE**