

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

P.I.L. No.64 of 2013

(Ajay s/o. Rammohan Tiwari .vs. N.M.C. and Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders or directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.M. Anil Kumar, Advocate for Petitioner.
 Mr.S.M.Puranik, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
 Mr.M.J.Khan, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
 Mrs.B.H.Dangre, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

CORAM : B.R.GAVAI AND
 KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.

DATE : 9.3.2017.

Heard.

Mr.M. Anil Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner states that, since the learned Counsel for the Respondent/Nagpur Improvement Trust has made a statement that, on account of it's abolition, the Nagpur Improvement Trust would not be charging half percent (0.5%) cess under Section 77 of the Nagpur Improvement Trust Act, 1936, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.

Hence, the present Public Interest Litigation is disposed of by taking on record the statement made on behalf of the Nagpur Improvement Trust.

No order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE