

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

C.A.N. NO. 107/2015 IN C.P.NO.161/2014,
IN L.P.A.NO. 512/2012 IN W.P.NO. 3285/2002.

Maroti Rajeram Mankar

-VERSUS-

Shri Rupendrasingh, Director, Ground Water Survey and Development Agency and others.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 03, 2017.

Heard Shri S.S. Ghate, learned Counsel for
the applicant/petitioner and Ms. T. Khan, learned
A.G.P. for respondent nos. 1 and 4.

2. Effort is to demonstrate that similarly
situated employees like present applicant have been
regularized by giving them benefit of continuity.

3. During hearing, we find pleadings
insufficient to sustain the prayer.

4. In this situation, with liberty to applicant
to first move appropriate application to his employer
pointing out these facts as also claiming parity and

2

with a direction to employer to decide that application within three months, we grant leave to petitioner to move similar application again if need therefor arises.

5. Civil Application is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rgd.