

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

P.I.L. NO.26 OF 2011

[The Court's on its Own Motion .vs. The State of Maharashtra and others]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Pardhi, Advocate (Amicus Curiae) for petitioner,
 Shri D.P. Thakre, AGP for respondent nos.1, 2 and 4,
 Shri J.B. Kasat, Advocate for respondent no.3,
 Shri B.N. Mohta, Advocate for respondent no.5,
 Shri P.D. Meghe, Advocate for respondent no.6,
 Shri Rohit Joshi, Advocate for respondent no.7,
 Shri S.Y. Deopujari, Advocate for respondent no.8.

.....

CORAM : B.R. GAVAI AND
KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.

DATED : FEBRUARY 09, 2017.

The court suo motu taken cognizance of the matter since there used to be a traffic congestion on Wardha road on account of no separate space being provided for octroi naka.

Learned amicus submits that subsequently the Nagpur Municipal Corporation had provided a separate space for octroi check post thereby easing out the vehicles. It is further to be noted that on account of LBT and now further on account of GST, there will be no need of octroi post.

In that view of the matter, no purpose would be served by keeping the PIL pending. PIL stands disposed of as such.

JUDGE

JUDGE