

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.2684 of 2000

(Natthu Modkuji Kshirsagar .vs. The Municipal Council, Tumsar and Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders or directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.Sumit Joshi, Advocate for Petitioner.
 Mr.M.I.Dhatrak, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
 Ms R.V.Kalia, AGP for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : B.R. GAVAI &
 A.S.CHANDURKAR, JJ.

DATE : 20.4.2017.

Heard.

In the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent/Municipal Council, dt.8.8.2014, a categorical statement is made that the amount of pension and gratuity which is due to the petitioner as per the service record has been duly paid to the petitioner. There is nothing placed on record by the petitioner to dispute the said position.

Since the statement made by the Municipal Council is on the basis of Official record of the Municipal Council, there is no reason to dispute the same.

In that view of the matter, we find that the purpose of petition is served. The rule is, therefore, disposed of. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

jaiswal