

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.182 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.2275 OF 2011

(M/S. SANVIJAY ROLLING AND ENGINEERING LTD.....VS.. MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY
DISTRIBUTION CO. LTD. & OTH..)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri T.D.Mandlekar, Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri S.V. Purohit, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : NOVEMBER 29, 2017.

The learned advocate for the respondents has pointed out the affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents in which it is stated that the benefit as per the order issued by MSEDCL has been granted to the petitioner. The advocate for the petitioner does not dispute this fact and submits that the grievance of the petitioner made in the contempt petition does not survive.

In the above facts, in my view, the jurisdiction under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is not required to be invoked. The show cause notice issued to the respondent is dropped. The contempt petition is **disposed**. In the circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE