

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**C.A.T. NO.10/2016 IN CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL ST. NO. 5140/2016**  
 (THE PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS, SERVICE TAX AND CENTRAL EXCISE, NAGPUR  
**VERSUS** POONAMCHAND MALU, DIRECTOR OF MALU ELECTRODES PVT. LTD.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
 appearances, Court's orders of directions  
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.N. Bhattad, counsel for the applicant.  
 Shri S.V. Bhutada, counsel for the non-applicant.

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND**  
**V.M. DESHPANDE, JJ.**

**DATE : JANUARY 04, 2017.**

Though the learned counsel for the non-applicant opposes the prayer made in the application by referring to the standard operative procedure of litigation, since the delay is extremely short and the appeal pertains to an order passed by the CESTAT, we condone the delay in filing the appeal.

The civil application stands disposed of accordingly.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

APTE