

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 71 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2046 OF 2010

(Anil Laxman Telang and others .v. Sitaram Janardan Kunte and another)

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 72 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2046 OF 2010

(Vaishali Shahajirao Patil and others .v. Dr. Sanjay Chahande and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri R.L. Khapre, Advocate with Shri Shankar Borkute, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Shri L.M. Achal, Advocate with Shri S.Y. Deopujari, GP for
respondents.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.
12TH DECEMBER, 2017.

These contempt petitions are already admitted for
final hearing on 01.09.2017. Accordingly, we have heard Advocate
Khapre with Advocate Borkute for the petitioner and Advocate
Achal with learned GP for respondents. Respondent No.1 is
personally present in this Court.

It is not in dispute that the date appearing as
“15.10.2017” in para 6 of the order of this Court dated 07th
November, 2017 is to be read as “15.10.2013”. Accordingly, said
date is corrected.

Our attention has been invited to order dated
21.11.2017 passed on CAW No. 2599 of 2017 by Advocate Khapre.

We have heard the learned Counsel appearing on
behalf of the respective parties on said order. We find that the
grievance being made by the petitioners who are terminated
employees cannot, therefore, be examined in contempt
jurisdiction. The petitioners can, if it is open to them, raise it
before appropriate forum as per law.

With said liberty to the petitioners, we dispose of Contempt Petitions. Rule is discharged. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

*rrg.