

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPR) NO.18 OF 2016

(Pandhari s/o Vithoba Ingole and others vs. Datta s/o Bhagwan Ingole and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Shri V.B. Gawali, Advocate for applicants.

Ms. K.S. Funde, Advocate h/f Shri A.M. Ghare, Advocate
for respondent nos.1 to 3.

Shri T.A. Mirza, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondent no.4.

CORAM : P.N. DESHMUKH, J.

DATED : APRIL 5, 2017

This is an application for condonation of 38 days' delay caused in preferring revision against the judgment dated 29/9/2015 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Washim whereby respondent nos.1 and 3 came to be convicted for the offence punishable under Section 324 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code while respondent no.2 was acquitted.

For the grounds mentioned in para 3 of the criminal application, there appears no intentional delay caused in preferring the revision. In that view of the matter, though learned Counsel for respondent nos.1 to 3 has opposed the criminal application contending that no case is made out for condonation of delay, the criminal application is allowed in the interest of justice and stands disposed of by condoning the delay of 38 days.

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. OF 2016

Issue notice to respondents, returnable on
26/4/2017.

Shri Mirza, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor waives notice for respondent no.4.

JUDGE

khj