

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY:
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR
Civil Application [CAO] No. 194 of 2016
IN
Misc. Civil Application [Review] St. No. 1824 of 2014
IN
Writ Petition No. 4863 of 2003 [decided]
[Utkranti Mandal & another Vs. Presiding Officer, School Tribunal & others]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Ingole, Adv., holding for Ms. R.D. Raskar, Adv., for the applicants.
Mr. Ekre, AGP for respondent nos. 1 and 3.
Mr. K.N. Dadhe, Adv., for respondent no.2.

- - - -
CORAM : A. S. CHANDURKAR, J.

DATE : 23rd March, 2017

Though prayer for condonation of delay is opposed by the learned counsel for the non-applicants, considering reasons mentioned in the application, the delay stands condoned.

Civil Application is allowed and disposed of.

-0-0-0-0-

Misc. Civil Appln. St. No. 1824 of 2016 :

Perused the review application and reply thereto.
Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The contention that the non-applicant no.2 was

entitled to difference in arrears of salary only till July, 1997 was not raised in the writ petition filed by the applicants. This point, though available, was not urged when the writ petition was heard. Merely on the basis of subsequent adjudication of another writ petition, the judgment dated 7th and 8th October, 2014 cannot be reviewed. I do not find any error apparent on the face of record.

Hence, application is rejected.

Judge

|hedau|