

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.31 OF 2017

Abdul Anis Shikh Ajim

..vs..

State of Mah., thr. the Police Station Officer, P.S. Buldhana City, Tahsil and
District Buldhana and anr

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court orders or directions
and Registrar's orders
.....

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri S.U. Bhuyar, Counsel for the appellant.
Shri N.B. Jawade, Addl.P.P. for R-1/State.

CORAM : V.M. DESHPANDE, J.

DATED : JULY 25, 2017.

This is an appeal against acquittal.

Heard learned counsel Shri S.U. Bhuyar for the
appellant at length.

The present criminal appeal is filed by the original
complainant. Initially, in Regular Criminal Case No.154 of 2009
respondent No.2 Sayyad Ahmad Ali Sayyad Mohammad Ali was
convicted by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class for the
offences punishable under Sections 420 and 468 of the Indian
Penal Code, 1860.

Respondent No.2 filed an appeal bearing Criminal
Appeal No.66 of 2013 and questioned his conviction. Learned
Sessions Judge at Buldhana on 15.12.2016 dismissed the said

appeal.

After hearing learned counsel Shri S.U. Bhuyar for the appellant and having perused the judgment delivered by learned Sessions Judge at Buldhana, it is clear that learned Sessions Judge has considered the prosecution case minutely and after appreciation of the prosecution case found that respondent No.2 is entitled for acquittal.

Learned counsel Shri S.U. Bhuyar for the appellant was unable to point out anything before me to the effect that learned Sessions Judge has failed to appreciate any available evidence on record or his appreciation is perverse one.

In that view of the matter, no case is made. The criminal appeal is dismissed.

JUDGE

!! BRW !!

...../-