

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.2961 of 2017

(Santosh Kumar s/o. Hazarilal Agrawal .vs. The Pr. Chief Commissioner of Income
Tax-3, Nagpur)
with

Writ Petition No.3311 of 2017

(Purshottam s/o. Hazarilal Agrawal .vs. The Pr. Chief Commissioner of Income Tax-3,
Nagpur)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.N.S.Bhattad, Advocate with Mr.Saket Bhattad,
Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr.Anand Parchure, Sr. Advocate with Mr.Bhushan
Mohta, Advocate for Respondent.

**CORAM : M.S.SANKLECHA &
MANISH PITALE, JJ.**

DATE : 5.7.2017.

1. These two petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India challenge two orders dated 29th July, 2016, one in respect of each of the petitioners before us. The impugned orders have rejected the petitioners' applications u/s.119(2)(b) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 ("the Act") to enable them to file their returns of income beyond the prescribed last date to file the same.

2. Mr.Anand Parchure, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Revenue, on instructions, requests that they be given leave to withdraw the impugned orders dated 29th July, 2016 and thereafter, pass a fresh

order in accordance with law. Liberty, as sought for, is granted.

3. Needless to state that the Commissioner of Income Tax would dispose of the petitioners' applications as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from today

4. In view of the above, Mr.N.S.Bhattad, learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw both the petitions. Hence, the petitions are disposed of as 'withdrawn'. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

jaiswal