

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 158 OF 2017**

Mahindra Pichhaiya of Mumbai	...Petitioner
VS.	
Union of India and anr.	...Respondents

Mr. Sunny Punamiya for the Petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Shirke i/by S.V. Marne for respondent no. 2.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.
AUGUST 03, 2017**

P.C.:

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation with supporting documents before respondent no. 2. He submits that the respondent no.2 be directed to consider the same and take appropriate decision on it in accordance with law keeping in view the petitioner's contention that he is similarly placed with the other employees who have been extended the benefit by the Supreme Court.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 submits that since the petitioner was not party before the Supreme Court, the benefit was not extended in his favour.

3. Be that as it may, we grant liberty to the petitioner to

submit a representation before respondent no.2. On receipt of the same, respondent no.2 shall consider the petitioner's grievance and shall take appropriate decision on it in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

4. With the aforesaid directions, petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

(M.S. SONAK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)