

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.95 OF 2014
WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.23 OF 2012
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.1055 OF 2008
WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.475 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.3659 OF 1990**

Rajesh Prabhakar Jhaveri Petitioner

Vs.

Sadguna Shah and Ors. Respondents

Ms. Rajlaxmi Punjabi i/b. Ms. Pushpa Thapa for petitioner.

Mr. Anirudh Hariani a/w. Mr. Aditya Khandeparkar and Mr. Surya Abhishek i/b. Hariani and Co. for respondent no.2.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.

DATE : 30th JUNE, 2017

PC.:

1 Mr. Hariani, counsel for respondent no.2 states that they have all intentions to comply with the court's order dated 14th March, 2014. Mr. Hariani further states that respondent no.4 has received a communication from the Ministry of Corporate Affairs that the company would be struck off the register as it is dormant for some time. Mr. Hariani states that they have all intentions to sit with Mrs. Dhanraj Kothari but they have not been even provided address of Mrs. Dhanraj Kothari by petitioner.

2 Though I find it very difficult to accept what Mr. Hariani is saying, nevertheless petitioner to provide the address of Mrs. Dhanraj

Kothari and request Mrs. Kothari, by a letter with copy sent to respondent no.2, to fix up a convenient time for both the parties to meet Mrs. Kothari and take the matter forward.

3 Statement of Mr. Hariani that respondent no.2 will fully co-operate with petitioner is accepted. Therefore, petition stands dismissed.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)