

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.143 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2774 OF 2015**

Arti G. Daptardar ..Applicant

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Arti G. Daptardar ..Petitioner

Versus

University Grants Commission and others ..Respondents

Mr. Vinamra Kopariha for the Applicant/Petitioner.

Mr. Rui A. Rodrigues a/w Mr. Asadullah Shaikh for the Respondent No.1.

Mr. Kedar Dighe, AGP for the Respondent Nos.3 to 5 – State.

Mr. P. M. Palshikar for the Respondent No.6.

Mr. I. A. Saiyed for the Respondent Nos.7 & 8.

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
SANDEEP K. SHINDE, JJ.
DATE : 31st OCTOBER, 2017**

PC.

The learned AGP states that the payment of salary is already made to the Applicant/original Petitioner. It appears that there is dispute with regard to the actual quantum which is paid to the Applicant/Petitioner. However, without going into that dispute, I am

(58) -NMW-143-17.doc.

reserving right of the Applicant/Petitioner to agitate her grievance before appropriate forum. We find that nothing survives in the Notice of Motion. The Notice of Motion is disposed of.

[SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J]

[B. R. GAVAI, J]