

vai

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMPANY PETITION NO.459 OF 2016
WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.460 OF 2016

WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION (LODGING) NO.688 OF 2016
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.459 OF 2016

WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO.506 OF 2016
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.460 OF 2016

India Infoline Finance Ltd.	...Petitioner
V/s.	
Business India Publications Ltd.	...Respondent

Dr.Birendra Saraf with Mr.Rohan Savant, Mr.Pritish Shetty and Mr.Sudarshan Mohta i/b M/s.Manilal Kher Ambalal & Co. for the Petitioner.

Mr.Mahendhar Aithe, Company Prosecutor for the Official Liquidator present.

CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA, J.
DATE : 4TH JANUARY, 2017.

P.C. :-

1. Learned counsel for the parties state that both these petitions are not admitted till date. The statement is accepted.
2. Learned counsel for the parties tender consent terms in

these two petitions dated 4th January, 2017 duly signed by the parties as well as their respective advocates. The signatures of the parties are identified by their respective advocates. The consent terms are taken on record and mark "X" for identification. The undertakings recorded in the consent terms are accepted.

3. Company Petition Nos.459 of 2016 and 460 of 2016 are disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

4. In view of disposal of these two petitions, all pending company applications in these two petitions do not survive and are accordingly disposed of. Interim orders, if any, passed in these two petitions stand vacated.

(R.D. DHANUKA, J.)