

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.3034 OF 2014

Office Notes, Office
memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or
Judge's orders.

None for the Petitioners.

Shri Mohit Jadhav, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 6.

Ms.Geeta Joglekar for the Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Ms.Kiran Bagalia for the Respondent No.6.

—

CORAM : A.S. OKA &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ

DATED : 31ST JULY 2017

P.C.:

1. None appears for the Petitioners. The challenge is to the Notification dated 21st September 2011, a copy of which is annexed at Exhibit-A to the Petition. By the said Notification, the modifications to the Development Plan have been notified and objections and suggestions have been invited from the members of the public.

2. Thus, by the impugned Notification, the Development Plan has not been modified and merely objections and suggestions have been invited to the proposed modifications. Therefore, at this stage, no interference is called for in writ jurisdiction. If the Petitioners have submitted objections and suggestions on the basis of the impugned Notification, the same are bound to be considered in accordance with law.

3. Subject to what is observed above, the Petition is rejected.

(VIBHA KANKANWADI, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)