

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 863 OF 2008
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 862 OF 2008
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 861 OF 2008**

The Commissioner of Income Tax-1 .. Appellant

v/s.

M/s. Gavakari Prakashan .. Respondent

Mr. Arvind Pinto for the appellant

Mr. Raturaj Gurjar i/b Mr. Mihir Naniwadekar for the respondent

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.M. BADAR, J.J.**

DATED : 19th JULY, 2017

*Appeal Nos.861/2008 and 862/2008 are not on board. Upon mentioning,
taken on board.*

P.C.

1. The Tribunal has passed the impugned order in respect of Assessment Years 1993-94 to 1996-97. It is submitted by the learned Counsel for the assessee that one appeal filed by the Revenue is already dismissed for non-removal of office objections. The three appeals are on board.

2. The learned Counsel for the appellant submits that the tax

effect involved in the present appeals are less than Rs.20 lakhs and as per the CBDT Circular No.21 of 2015 dated 10th December, 2015, the department has taken a policy decision not to prosecute the appeals wherein the tax effect is less than Rs.20 lakhs.

3. In view of the above, the appeals stand disposed of. No costs.

(A.M. BADAR, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)