

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.478 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO.2687 OF 2017

Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	...Applicant
<i>In the matter between</i>	
Idea Cellular Limited and Another	...Petitioners
vs.	
Telecom Regulatory Authority of India	...Respondent

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.479 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO.2700 OF 2017

Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	...Applicant
<i>In the matter between</i>	
Bharti Airtel Limited and Another	...Petitioners
vs.	
Telecom Regulatory Authority of India	...Respondent

Mr. Darius Khambatta, Senior Counsel a/w. Mr. Karl Tamboly, Ms. Sneha Jaisingh and Ms. Anusha Jegadeesh i/b. Bharucha & Partners, for the Petitioners in WPL No. 2687 of 2017.

Mr. Siddharth Ranade i/b. Trilegal, for the Petitioners in WPL No. 2700 of 2017.

Dr. Milind Sathe, Senior Counsel a/w. Ms. M. D'Souza, Mr. T.N. Subramaniam, Mr. Ritin Rai, Mr. Ankit Lohia, Mr. Ashwin Dave, Mr. Amey Nabar, Mr. Rishit Badiyani i/b. A.S. Dayal & Associates, for the Applicants in Notice of Motions.

Mr. H.V. Mehta, for the Respondents in WPL No. 2687 of 2017

Mr. Ameet Naik a/w. Ms. Madhu Gadodia i/b. Naik Naik & Co., for the Respondents in WPL No. 2700 of 2017.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
G.S. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : OCTOBER 11, 2017

P.C.:

- . Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties on the Notice of Motion Nos. 478 of 2017 and 479 of 2017 filed by the Applicant Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited as party Respondent No. 2 in the Writ Petition.
3. The Notice of Motions have not been opposed by the learned counsel for the Petitioners. The learned counsel for the Petitioners submit that the Notice of Motions may be allowed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties in regard to the merits of the matter.
4. In view of the aforesaid, we allow the Notice of Motions.
5. The learned counsel for the Petitioners seek leave to amend the Petitions so as to implead Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited as party Respondent. The prayer is accepted. The amendment to be carried out within two weeks.
6. The interim order passed earlier to continue till the next date of hearing.
7. List the Petitions as per CMIS date.

(G.S. KULKARNI, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)