

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.283 OF 2016

THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-10)...APPELLANT

V/s.

M/S.HINDU VENTURES LTD.)...RESPONDENT

WITH

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1288 OF 2015

**THE PR.COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX)
-10)...APPELLANT**

V/s.

M/S.HINDU VENTURES LTD.)...RESPONDENT

Mr.Arvind Pinto, Advocate for the Appellant.

Mr.Kamal Sawhney a/w. Mr.Abhishek Tilak, Advocate for the Respondent.

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 26th JULY 2017

P.C. :

1 These appeals are pertaining to Assessment Year 2001-2002 and 2004-2005. The issue relates with the retrospective applicability of Rule 8D of the Income Tax Rules.

2 We have heard the learned counsel for the respective parties. The said issue is covered by the judgment of this court in the case of Godrej & Boyce Mfg. Co. Ltd. vs. Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax reported in 328 ITR 81.

3 In light of the above, no substantial question of law arise and as such, the appeals are dismissed. No costs.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)