

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**CHAMBER SUMMONS No. 1017 OF 2017
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION No. 240 OF 2017**

Manishi Suresh Dhamija and Ors. ...Applicants

In the matter between

M/s. Kartarchand and Sons ...Petitioners

Vs.

Union of India and Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
ARBITRATION PETITION No. 248 OF 2017
WITH
CHAMBR SUMMONS No.1018 OF 2017
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION No. 248 OF 2017**

M/s. Kartarchand and Sons ...Applicants/Petitioners

Vs.

Union of India and Anr. ...Respondents

Ms. Namrata Bobade for Applicants

Ms. Martina Sapka i/b. Arun Sapkal for Respondent No.1

CORAM : M.S. SANKLECHA, J.
THURSDAY, 7TH DECEMBER, 2017

P.C.

1. These two chamber summons have been taken out in two arbitration

petitions filed under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

Both the petitions were filed by the sole proprietor in his trade name.

2. These two chamber summons (one in each petition) seeks to bring on record the wife and children of the deceased sole proprietor so as to continue the prosecution of these petitions.

3. Mrs. Sapkal, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners has no objection to the two chamber summons being allowed.

4. Accordingly, Chamber Summonses are allowed and disposed of in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). Amendment to be carried out within a week.

[M. S. SANKLECHA, J.]