

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2673 OF 2017

M/s. Chaitya Developers

...Petitioner

vs.

Maharashtra Housing and Area Development
Authority (MHADA) and Others

...Respondents

Mr. Rajiv Chavan, Sr. Advocate a/w. Ms. Perna Lalchandani, for
the Petitioner.

Mr. P.G. Lad a/w. Ms. Sayle Apte and Mr. Dilip Shetye, for
Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Mr. G.S. Godbole a/w. Ms. Shruti Tulpule, for Respondent Nos. 7 to
10 and 12 to 18, 20 to 26, 28 to 31, 32, 33 to 35, 37 and 38.

All the Petitioners are present in the Court.

The Respondent Nos. 19 and 36 are present in person.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
R.G. KETKAR, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 21, 2017

P.C.:

. Parties through their Counsel.

2. The Petitioners and Respondents are present in person.

Except Respondent Nos. 19 and 36 all the Respondents are
represented by their counsel. They have filed consent terms which
is taken on record and marked Exhibit "X" for identification.

3. The Respondent Nos. 19 and 36 who are present in
person are being identified by learned counsel Ms. Perna
Lalchandani. They have filed separate consent terms which are

taken on record and marked “Y” for identification.

4. The learned counsel for the parties as also the parties who are present in person submit that the Petition may be disposed of in terms of the consent terms Exhibit X and Y. They submit that there is no difference in both the consent terms.

5. Having regard to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the consent terms are legal and not opposed to any public policy, we accept the consent terms and dispose of this Writ Petition in terms of the consent terms (Exhibit X and Y).

6. The undertaking given in the consent terms is accepted.

7. The Petition is disposed of as such.

(R.G.KETKAR, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)