

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****ARBITRATION PETITION (L) NO. 639 OF 2017**

Malpani Construction Co. (P). Ltd. ... Petitioner

Versus

Super Construction Co. and Ors. ... Respondents

.....

Mr. Farhan Dubash a/w Ms. Sheetal Mehta i/b M/s. Mehta and
girdharlal for Petitioner.

Mr. Bhalwal i/b Vyas and Bhalwal for Respondent

.....

CORAM: K.R. Shriram, J

DATE : 15th September, 2017

PC :

. Heard the learned counsel.

2. So far as prayer clause (a) is concerned, Mr. Bhalwal appearing for the Respondents states that he has no objection. So far as prayer clause (b) is concerned, Mr. Bhalwal states that it cannot be granted. So far as prayer clause (b) is concerned, it will not be possible for the Court to go into details at this stage. Therefore, it is left to the petitioner to apply to the Arbitrator for costs and it will be open to the Arbitrator to decide the same, in

accordance with law.

3. Petition is accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

(K.R. Shriram, J.)