

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 536 OF 2017

Mahindra and Mahindra Financial
Services Ltd

..Petitioner

Vs.

Sai Sakshi Abhikaran Motors and Others

..Respondents

Mr. Subir Kumar, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shishir Pandey, for Respondent Nos. 1, 4 and 5.

Mr. Nikhil Chavan, for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

CORAM :- **B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.**
DATE :- **SEPTEMBER 29, 2017.**

P. C.:

I am informed that a sole Arbitrator has now been appointed for adjudicating the disputes between the Petitioner and the Respondents herein and in fact one hearing has already taken place before the Arbitrator.

2 In this view of the matter and considering that the Arbitrator has already been appointed, the Petitioner can now apply for the reliefs as he claims in this Section 9 Petition before

the Arbitrator under Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

3 This Arbitration Petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the Petitioner herein to apply before the Arbitrator of such reliefs as he may choose including one that he has applied for in this Section 9 Petition.

(B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.)