

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.745 OF 2015

Smt.Pratima H.Mehta	...	Appellant
V/s.		
The Commissioner of Income-Tax-II, Mumbai	...	Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.902 OF 2015
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.417 OF 2016

Smt.Pratima H.Mehta	...	Appellant
V/s.		
The Commissioner of Income-Tax-II, Mumbai & Anr		Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.338 OF 2016

M/s.Fortune Holdings Pvt. Ltd.	...	Appellant
V/s.		
The Commissioner of Income-Tax-II, Mumbai & Anr		Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.453 OF 2016

M/s.Velvet Holdings Pvt. Ltd.	...	Appellant
V/s.		
The Commissioner of Income-Tax-II, Mumbai & Anr		Respondent

M/s.Eminent Holdings Pvt. Ltd.	...	Appellant
V/s.		
The Commissioner of Income-Tax-II, Mumbai & Anr		Respondent

Mr.Pankaj Toprani with Ms.Krupa Toprani, Advocate for the Appellant in ITXA/745/2015, ITXA/902/2015, ITXA/338/2016, ITXA/417/2016,ITXA/453/2016,ITXA/341/2016, ITXA/344/2016 and ITXA/420/2016.

None for the Respondent in ITXA/745/2015, ITXA/902/2015, ITXA/338/2016, ITXA/417/2016, ITXA/453/2016.

Mr.A.R.Malhotra with Mr.N.A.Kazi, Advocate for the Respondent
in ITXA/341/2016, ITXA/344/2016 and ITXA/420/2016

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA &
A.M.BADAR JJ.**

DATED : 24th July 2017.

P.C.

1 The learned counsel fairly concedes that the issue involved in the present appeals are covered by the Order of this Court in Income-Tax Appeal No.211 of 2014 dated 7th September 2016 and the other questions in some of the Appeals are not being pressed by the Appellant.

2 In view of that, the Appeals stand dismissed in view of the Order dated 7th September 2016 in Income-Tax Appeal No.211 of 2014.

3 No costs.

(A.M.BADAR J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA J.)