

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION**

**TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO.99 OF 2013
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO.380 OF 2013**

Kavita Keshav Dhuri @ Mangal Narayan ShindePlaintiff

Vs.

Rupali Ramesh Shinde & Ors.Defendants

Mr. Sunil H. Sule for the plaintiff.

Ms. Kavita Keshav Dhuri, plaintiff in person present.

Mr. B.G. Saraf for the defendants/caveators.

Ms. Rupali Ramesh Shinde, defendant no.1 present in person.

Mr. Himanshu Ramesh Shinde, defendant no.2 present in person.

Ms. Pratharna Ramesh Shinde, defendant no.3 present in person.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.

DATE : 20th JANUARY, 2017

P.C.:

1 Parties have amicably settled the matter and have tendered consent terms dated 20th January, 2017. The consent terms is signed by the plaintiff, defendant no.1, defendant no.2, defendant no.3 and their respective advocates. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The consent terms has also been interpreted in Marathi to the plaintiff on 19th January, 2017 and there is an endorsement to that effect.

2 Order in terms of the consent terms. All undertakings given in the consent terms are accepted and so ordered.

3 The petition is disposed as withdrawn. Refund if any, of court fees in accordance with rules. All interim orders stand vacated and all interim applications also stand disposed.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)